The Honorable James L. Robart 1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 8 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation, CASE NO. C10-1823-JLR 9 Plaintiff, MOTOROLA MOBILITY'S AND 10 GENERAL INSTRUMENT'S REPLY TO MOTOROLA MOBILITY'S AND 11 v. GENERAL INSTRUMENT'S MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL IN 12 MOTOROLA, INC., and MOTOROLA SUPPORT OF THE DAUBERT MOTION MOBILITY, INC., and GENERAL TO EXCLUDE CERTAIN TESTIMONY OF 13 INSTRUMENT CORPORATION, PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS DRS. LYNDE, MURPHY, AND SIMCOE 14 Defendants. NOTED ON MOTION CALENDAR: 15 Friday, September 7, 2012 16 MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC., and GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION. 17 Plaintiffs/Counterclaim Defendant, 18 19 v. 20 MICROSOFT CORPORATION, 21 Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff. 22 23 24 25 26

MOTOROLA MOBILITY'S AND GENERAL INSTRUMENT'S REPLY TO MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF LYNDE, MURPHY AND SIMCOE CASE NO. C10-1823-JLR

315 FIFTH AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 1000 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-2682 Telephone: (206) 676-7000 Fax: (206) 676-7001

## I. INTRODUCTION

In Motorola Mobility's and General Instrument's Motion to File Documents Under Seal In Support of the *Daubert* Motion to Exclude Certain Testimony of Plaintiff's Experts Drs. Lynde, Murphy, and Simcoe ("Motion to Seal") (Dkt. No. 390), Motorola Mobility, Inc. and General Instrument Corporation (collectively, "Motorola") moved to seal Exhibits 3-8, 10, 21, and 33 to the Declaration of Samuel L. Brenner, as well as Motorola's *Daubert* Motion to Exclude Certain Testimony of Plaintiff's Experts Drs. Lynde, Murphy, and Simcoe ("*Daubert* Motion"), which references those confidential documents. However, in its Motion to Seal, Motorola also indicated that it believes that Exhibits 3-7, which consist of excerpts of the expert reports of Kevin M. Murphy, Matthew R. Lynde, and Timothy S. Simcoe, do not contain confidential material. (Dkt. No. 390 at 3.) In its Response (Dkt. No. 413), Microsoft agrees that Exhibits 3-4 and Exhibits 6-7 need not remain under seal. Accordingly, together with this Reply, Motorola is submitting:

- 1. A Revised Order Granting Motorola Mobility's and General Instrument's Motion to File Documents Under Seal in Support of the *Daubert* Motion to Exclude Certain Testimony of Plaintiff's Experts Drs. Lynde, Murphy, and Simcoe [Proposed], granting Motorola's Motion to Seal as to Exhibits 5, 10, 21, and 33, and
- 2. A replacement Redacted *Daubert* Motion to Exclude Certain Testimony of Plaintiff's Experts Drs. Lynde, Murphy, and Simcoe, that does not redact references to, quotes from, and discussions of Exhibits 3-4 and 6-7.

## II. EXHIBIT 5 SHOULD BE SEALED

While Motorola initially stated that Exhibit 5 similarly does not contain confidential information, in its Response, Microsoft indicates that Exhibit 5 contains references to documents produced by non-parties pursuant to subpoenas issued in this Action that were designated as "Attorneys' Eyes Only under the Protective Order." (Dkt. No. 413 at 2, 4.) Motorola agrees that Exhibit 5 contains references to documents that contain the confidential information of non-parties to this action, and that disclosure of this information to third parties and other party employees not covered by the protective order would have the potential to lead to competitive harm. Due to presence of this confidential information, Exhibit 5 should be sealed in its entirety.

#### III. **CONCLUSION**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Because, as to Exhibits 5, 8, 10, 21, and 33 to the Declaration of Samuel L. Brenner, and as to the replacement redacted *Daubert* Motion, Motorola meets the standards for sealing as discussed in the moving brief (Dkt. No. 390), and because Microsoft does not oppose Motorola's Motion to File Documents Under Seal In Support of the *Daubert* Motion to Exclude Certain Testimony of Plaintiff's Experts Drs. Lynde, Murphy, and Simcoe to the extent Motorola seeks to seal those exhibits (id.), and offers additional support why documents should be sealed (Dkt. No. 413), Motorola respectfully requests that the Court grant the Motion as to Exhibits 5, 8, 10, 21, and 33 to the Declaration of Samuel L. Brenner, and as to Motorola's *Daubert* Motion, as provided for in Motorola's revised Proposed Order, submitted herewith.

DATED this 7th day of September, 2012.

## SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC

By /s/ Ralph H. Palumbo Ralph H. Palumbo, WSBA #04751 Philip S. McCune, WSBA #21081 Lynn M. Engel, WSBA #21934 ralphp@summitlaw.com philm@summitlaw.com lynne@summitlaw.com

By /s/ Thomas V. Miller

Thomas V. Miller MOTOROLA MOBILITY, INC. MD W4-150 600 North U.S. Highway 45 Libertyville, IL 60048-1286 (847) 523-2162

24 25

26

# Case 2:10-cv-01823-JLR Document 416 Filed 09/07/12 Page 4 of 5

1	And by
2	Jesse J. Jenner (pro hac vice)
	Steven Pepe (pro hac vice)
3	Kevin J. Post (pro hac vice)
	Ropes & Gray LLP
4	1211 Avenue of the Americas
ا ہ	New York, NY 10036-8704
5	(212) 596-9046
	jesse.jenner@ropesgray.com
6	steven.pepe@ropesgray.com
7	kevin.post@ropesgray.com
′	James R. Batchelder (pro hac vice)
8	Norman H. Beamer (pro hac vice)
8	Ropes & Gray LLP
9	1900 University Avenue, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor
	East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2284
10	(650) 617-4030
	james.batchelder@ropesgray.com
11	norman.beamer@ropesgray.com
12	Paul M. Schoenhard (pro hac vice)
	Ropes & Gray LLP
13	One Metro Center
	700 12 <sup>th</sup> Street NW, Suite 900
14	Washington, DC 20005-3948
	(202) 508-4693
15	paul.schoenhard.@ropesgray.com
16	Attorneys for Defendants Motorola Solutions,
10	Inc., Motorola Mobility, Inc., and General
17	Instrument Corporation
1	Instrument Corporation
18	
19	
20	
21	
_	
22	
22	
23	
$_{24}$	
24	
25	
23	
26	
-	

MOTOROLA MOBILITY'S AND GENERAL INSTRUMENT'S REPLY TO MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF LYNDE, MURPHY AND SIMCOE - 3 CASE NO. C10-1823-JLR

315 FIFTH AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 1000 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-2682 Telephone: (206) 676-7000 Fax: (206) 676-7001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I hereby certify that on this day I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the 2 Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following: 3 Arthur W. Harrigan, Jr., Esq. 4 Christopher T. Wion, Esq. Shane P. Cramer, Esq. 5 Danielson, Harrigan, Leyh & Tollefson LLP arthurh@dhlt.com 6 chrisw@dhlt.com shanec@dhlt.com 7 Richard A. Cederoth, Esq. 8 Brian R. Nester, Esq. David T. Pritikin, Esq. 9 Douglas I. Lewis, Esq. John W. McBride, Esq. 10 David Greenfield, Esq. William H. Baumgartner, Jr., Esq. 11 David C. Giardina, Esq. 12 Carter G. Phillips, Esq. Constantine L. Trela, Jr., Esq. 13 Ellen S. Robbins, Esq. Nathaniel C. Love, Esq. 14 Sidley Austin LLP rcederoth@sidley.com 15 bnester@sidley.com dpritikin@sidley.com 16 dilewis@sidley.com iwmcbride@sidlev.com 17 david.greenfield@sidley.com wbaumgartner@sidley.com 18 dgiardina@sidley.com cphillips@sidley.com 19 ctrela@sidley.com erobbins@sidlev.com 20 nlove@sidley.com 21 T. Andrew Culbert, Esq. 22 David E. Killough, Esq. Microsoft Corp. 23 andycu@microsoft.com davkill@microsoft.com 24 DATED this 7th day of September, 2012. 25 /s/ Marcia A. Ripley 26 Marcia A. Ripley

MOTOROLA MOBILITY'S AND GENERAL INSTRUMENT'S REPLY TO MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF LYNDE, MURPHY AND SIMCOE - 4 CASE NO. C10-1823-JLR

SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC

315 FIFTH AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 1000 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-2682 Telephone: (206) 676-7000 Fax: (206) 676-7001